Rec'd PST/PTO

0 9 DEC 2004

# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY PCT

01517329

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

15 OCT 2004

							WIPO PCT			
Applicant's or agent's file reference JGP/8429WO				FOR FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)						
International application No.				International filing date (c	lay/mont	h/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)			
PCT/GB 03/02506				10.06.2003			11.06.2002			
			nt Classification (IPC) or bo	oth national classification ar	nd IPC					
B60T8/00										
Applicant										
AIRBUS UK LIMITED et al.										
<ol> <li>This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.</li> </ol>										
2.	2. This REPORT consists of a total of 6 sheets, including this cover sheet.									
<b> </b> 		This	war and in along appoints	nied by ANNEYES i.e.	chaate (	of the description	on claims and/or drawings which have			
	This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).									
	·									
	These annexes consist of a total of sheets.									
	·									
3.	This report contains indications relating to the following items:									
	I ⊠ Basis of the opinion									
}	12		Priority							
	Ш		•	opinion with regard to no	ovelty, i	nventive step a	and industrial applicability			
	IV  Lack of unity of invention									
	V Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement									
	VI		Certain documents cit	ed						
	VII			international application						
	VIII									
Date of submission of the demand					Date o	f completion of the	nis report			
05.01.2004					14.10	).2004				
Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:						rized Officer	Section Federates			
prem	imiary	Eu	ropean Patent Office			144				
D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d						, W				
Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465					Telept	none No. +49 89	2399-2722			

#### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY **EXAMINATION REPORT**

International application No.

PCT/GB 03/02506

I. Basis	of the	report
----------	--------	--------

the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)): **Description, Pages** as originally filed 1-28 Claims, Numbers as originally filed 1-17 **Drawings, Sheets** as originally filed 1/3-3/3 2. With regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is: the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)). the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)). the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3). 3. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing: contained in the international application in written form. [ ] filed together with the international application in computer readable form. [ furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form. The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished. The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished. 4. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:

1. With regard to the elements of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to

☐ the description,

the claims. the drawings,

pages:

sheets:

Nos.:

#### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY **EXAMINATION REPORT**

International application No.

PCT/GB 03/02506

5. This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).

(Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.)

- 6. Additional observations, if necessary:
- V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- 1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims No:

Claims

1-17

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

Claims No:

1-17

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-17

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet



### **EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET**

#### Re Item V

Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

- Reference is made to the following documents (D): 1.
  - D1: GB-A-1 018 548 (NORTH AMERICAN AVIATION INC) 26 January 1966 (1966-01-26)
  - D2: US-A-4 958 512 (JOHNSEN ODDVARD) 25 September 1990 (1990-09-25)
  - D3: GB-A-1 077 636 (MATERIELS HISPANOSUIZA SOC D E) 2 August 1967 (1967-08-02)
  - D4: US-A-5 167 385 (HAEFNER HANS W) 1 December 1992 (1992-12-01)
  - D5: US-A-4 613 190 (JOHNSON STUART E) 23 September 1986 (1986-09-23)
- The present application does not meet the requirements of Article 33(2) PCT, 2. because the subject-matter of claim 1 and claims 15-17 is not new.
- 2.1 Claim 1 describes a method of applying a braking force to a wheel of an aircraft moving along the ground, comprising the steps of
  - estimating the conditions at which the wheel would skid, taking into account the vertical load, and
  - dependent from said estimation, applying a braking force to the wheel.

Hence, claim 1 defines an anti-skid control for an aircraft wheel which takes into account the vertical load, which is already known from the prior art cited in the search report:

- D1 discloses an antiskid control for a landing aircraft (see page 1, line 11-16), with determination of wheel slippage and vertical load (see page 5, lines 9-10 and 37-44). Using these parameters, a second loop of the brake control system is controlled (see page 5, line 47-81).
- D2 shows a comparable control, where the slip factor providing maximum friction coefficient is sought by measuring vertical and horizontal forces (see col.3, line 22-26 and col.4, line 50-53).
- D4 shows that an antilocking system is optimally adjusted by combining slippage of wheels and measured vertical load (see col.6, line 17-25).
- By calculating the drag force, the aircraft landing computer according to D3 takes into account changes of vertical load (see page 3, line 20-28).
- Since claim 1 does not specify whether the vertical load is estimated in real



time or beforehand, also D5 - applying a preset braking force which takes into account the change of vertical load during landing (see col.6, line 15-24) in order to reduce skid activity (see col.6, line 56-61) - appears to show all features of claim 1.

Consequently, the subject-matter of claim 1 lacks novelty.

2.2 Claims 15 to 17 relate to a corresponding braking control apparatus, to a control unit and landing gear assembly and to an aircraft including said components, comprising a processor receiving vertical load signals in order to perform a calculation as described in claim 1.

As described in the preceding paragraph, a direct measurement of vertical load in an aircraft braking system is described in D1, D2 or D4. Hence, the subject-matter of claims 15-17 is not new in view of the disclosure of D1, D2 or D4.

- 3. Dependent claims 2-14 do not appear to contain any additional features which, in combination with the features of any claim they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step, the reasons being as follows:
  - Claims 2-4 effective braking according to claim 2 close to the peak of the friction coefficient/slip curve is known from D2 (see col.6, line 31-39), using the detected horizontal force for a reference as claimed in claim 3. D2 also takes into account a variable relating to time according to claim 4 (see col.5, line 65-67) by computing the sense of change of the friction coefficient, which provides an indication when the wheel is likely to skid.
  - Claim 5 see above paragraph 2.1.
  - Claims 6-10 D2 discloses the subject-matter of said claims, i. e. records force data in order to determine the coefficient of friction/slip-factor curve (see col.3, line 22-35) and controls braking force at the maximum value of said curve (see col.4, line 19-30). D4 shows the additional features of claims 6, 7 and 10, i. e. wheel slippage is determined and combined with vertical force data (as a "slip parameter") in order to ascertain the wheel friction coefficient (see col.6, line 17-25).
  - Claim 11 a prediction regarding how the vertical load will change during landing is disclosed in D5 (see Fig.5b).





- optimally adjusting the braking force during skidding, taking into Claim 12 account the vertical load data, is known from D4 (see col.6, line 17-25).
- Claims 13,14 a hydraulic braking system according to claim 13 is known from D2 (see col.5, line 36-41), which discloses that a horizontal force is measured (see col.5, line 63-65) which is representative of the hydraulic braking pressure. Since D2 also takes into account measurement data on vertical load when controlling braking force (see col.5, line 62 - col.6, line 1), the additional feature of claim 14 is also known.